



## HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM LTD

Regd. Office : Shakti Bhawan, Plot No. C-4, Sector-6, Panchkula 134109  
Corporate Identity Number : U40101HR1997SGC033683  
Website : [www.hvprn.org.in](http://www.hvprn.org.in), E-mail: [companysecy@hvprn.org.in](mailto:companysecy@hvprn.org.in)  
Correspondence E-mail - [lr@hvprn.org.in](mailto:lr@hvprn.org.in), [anusinglaalo@edhbvn.org.in](mailto:anusinglaalo@edhbvn.org.in)  
Telephone No. - 0172-2560769, 0172-2571841

---

To

1. The CE/Admn. HVPNL, Panchkula.
2. The CE/Admn. UHBVN, Panchkula
3. The CE/Admn. DHBVN, Hisar.
4. The CE/Admn. HPGCL, Panchkula.

Memo No.: 17/LB-2(138)

Dated: 24.10.2025

- Subject:**
1. CWP No. 27775 of 2025 titled **Surender Vs. DHBVN & Ors.**
  2. CWP No. 27779 of 2025 titled **Ramesh Vs. DHBVN & Ors.**

Attention is drawn to judgment dated 17.09.2025 passed in subject cited writ petition by the Hon'ble High Court whereby Hon'ble High Court dismissed the Writ petitions. The operative part order dated 17.09.2025 is reproduced here under:-

7. "Recently, a Two-Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Puja Ferro Alloys (P) Ltd. v. State of Goa*, 2025 SCC OnLine SC 326 speaking through Justice Dipankar Datta has reiterated the view that the principle of Res Judicata applies to petitions arising for decision in the writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution. Para 24 of the judgment is reproduced as under:  
"24. It is now well settled that the principle of res judicata applies even to petitions arising for decision in the writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution. If any authority is required one may profitably refer to the decision in *T.P. Moideen Koya v. State of Kerala* [(2004) 8 SCC 106].
8. The petitioners had earlier invoked the jurisdiction of this Court through CWP No. 20406 of 2024 and CWP No. 29480 of 2024, wherein they sought the very same relief of consequential benefits of regular pay, including annual increments and other admissible allowances, as is being prayed for in the present writ petition. This Court, vide order dated 14.02.2025 (Annexure P5), duly considered the

controversy in issue and granted only the relief of notional regularization of the petitioners w.e.f. 29.07.2011, on the ground of parity. Accordingly, the present writ petition, which seeks the same relief, is barred by the principle of Res judicata and is thus not maintainable.

9. In view of the discussion above, this Court does not find it appropriate to invoke its extraordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the present petitions stand dismissed.”

It is an important judgment on the issue that the issue that the petitioner earlier also sought the same relief through CWP No. 20406/2024 & CWP No. 29480/2024 as such the same relief is barred by the Principle of Res judicata and is thus not maintainable.

It is therefore requested to circulate the judgment amongst the subordinate under your control for prayer to dismissal to decide the similar cases by placing reliance on the judgment dated 17.09.2025 passed by Hon'ble High Court. It is also requested to direct the concerned CE/IT and SE/IT DHBVN to host the judgment dated 17.09.2025 on the website of concerned Power Utility. A complete copy of judgment dated 17.09.2025 is enclosed herewith for ready reference.

DA/As above



Legal Officer,  
For LR, HPU, Panchkula.

CC:

The S.E./XEN/IT, UHBVN, HVPNL, HPGCL, DHBVN, Panchkula/Hisar are requested to upload the judgment dated 17.09.2025 on the website of their utility.