



HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM LTD

Regd. Office : Shakti Bhawan, Plot No. C-1, Sector-6, Panchkula-134109

Corporate Identity Number : U40101HR1997SGC 033683

Website : www.hvprn.org.in, E-mail : companysecy@hvprn.org.in

Correspondence E-mail : hv@hvprn.org.in, anusinglaalo@dhhbvnl.org.in

Telephone No. - 0172-2560700, 0172-2571841

To

1. The CE/Admn. HVPNL, Panchkula.
2. The CE/Admn. UHBVN, Panchkula
3. The CE/HR & Admn. DHBVN, Hisar.
4. The CE/Admn. HPGCL, Panchkula.

Memo No.: 25/LB-2(158)

Dated: 20.11.2025

Subject: CWP No. 32142 of 2025 titled Anil Kumar, CE Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.

Attention is drawn to judgment dated 31.10.2025 passed in subject cited writ petition by the Hon'ble High Court whereby the Hon'ble High Court dismissed the Writ petition. The operative part order dated 31.10.2025 is reproduced here under:-

"3.Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 has relied upon the Division Bench judgment of this Court passed in LPA No.987 of 1992, titled as Ved Pal Mor vs State of Haryana, decided on 07.10.1998, wherein it has been held as follows:- 14. It is undoubtedly correct that whenever a matter is brought to the Court, delay is one of the factors which is taken into consideration. Even though no limitation has been specifically provided for approaching the Court under Article 226 of the Constitution, yet the rule of refusal to interfere on the ground of delay is invariably followed by the Court. Despite the fact that no period of limitation has been specifically laid down, the Court rejects a complaint whenever there is unexplained delay or even when a triable issue of limitation arises. However this rule of delay does not govern administrative action. Whenever an authority finds that an error has been committed, it has the undoubted jurisdiction and discretion to rectify the mistake

7. In view of the foregoing discussions, it is evident that the benefit of counting the period of Extra-Ordinary Leave taken by the petitioner towards service continuity and increments was contrary to the governing statutory provisions. The respondents are justified in rectifying the mistake and ordering recovery of the excess amount paid to the petitioner. The impugned order dated 24.10.2025

(Annexure P-1) thus calls for no interference by this Court in exercise of writ jurisdiction under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed."

It is an important judgment on ratification of mistake with respect to grant of benefits contrary to the governing statutory provision and ordering recovering of excess amount paid to the petitioner.

It is therefore requested to circulate the judgment amongst the subordinate under your control for dismissal of ongoing similar cases by placing reliance on the judgment dated 31.10.2025 passed by Hon'ble High Court. It is also requested to direct the concerned SE/IT to host the judgment dated 31.10.2025 on the website of concerned Power Utility. A complete copy of judgment dated 31.10.2025 is enclosed herewith for ready reference.

DA/As above



Law Researcher.
For I.R. HPU, Panchkula.

CC:

1. The S.E./XEN/IT, UHBVN, HVPNL, HPGCL, DHBVN, Panchkula/Hisar are requested to upload the judgment dated 31.10.2025 on the website of their utility.
2. The Joint Secy./Legal, HVPNL, Panchkula.
3. The Under Secy./Legal, HVPNL, Panchkula.
4. Dy. District Attorney-I, HVPNL, Panchkula.
5. Dy. District Attorney-II, HVPNL, Panchkula
6. Sh. Aman Dhiman, Legal Consultant, UHBVN, Panchkula.
7. Legal Consultant, HVPNL, Panchkula.
8. Legal Consultant, HPGCL, Panchkula
9. Law Officer, HVPNL, Panchkula.
10. Law Officer, DHBVNL, Panchkula.
11. Law Officer, UHBVNL, Panchkula
12. Legal Officer, UHBVN, Panchkula.
13. ALO-1 UHBVN, Panchkula.
14. ALO-2, UHBVN, Panchkula.
15. ALO-3, UHBVN, Panchkula.
16. ALO, DHBVN, Panchkula.
17. ALO, HVPNL, Panchkula.