



# HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM LIMITED

Regd. Office : Shakti Bhawan, Plot No. C-4, Sector-6, Panchkula 134109

Corporate Identity Number : U40101HR1997SGC033683

Website : [www.hvpn.org.in](http://www.hvpn.org.in), E-mail: [companysecy@hvpn.org.in](mailto:companysecy@hvpn.org.in)

Correspondence E-mail: [lr@hvpn.org.in](mailto:lr@hvpn.org.in), [Legalretainer@hvpn.org.in](mailto:Legalretainer@hvpn.org.in)

Telephone No. - 0172-2560769, 0172-2571841

To

1. The CE/Admn. & IT, HVPNL, Panchkula.
2. The CE/TS, HVPNL, Panchkula.
3. The CE/TS, HVPNL, Hisar.
4. The CE/TS, HVPNL, Gurugram.
5. The CE/Op. DHBVN, Hisar.
6. The CE/Op. DHBVN, Delhi.
7. The CE/Admn., DHBVN, Hisar.
8. The CE/Op., UHBVN, Panchkula.
9. The CE/Op. UHBVN, Rohtak.
10. The CE/Admn., UHBVN, Panchkula.
11. The CE/Admn., HPGCL, Panchkula.

Memo No: 31/LB-2(09)

Dated: 31.10.2025

**Subject: CWP No. 2665 of 2025 titled as Shri Niwas V/s UHBVN & Anr.**

The aforesaid case came up for hearing on 22.09.2025 and the Hon'ble High Court vide judgment dated 22.09.2025 has dismissed the same on the ground that the claim for medical reimbursement cannot be denied merely on the basis that the treatment was taken in a non-empanelled hospital, as the test of essentiality and emergency must prevail, particularly when the treatment was undertaken to save the life of the claimant on the advice of a competent medical practitioner. The operative part of order dated 22.09.2025 is reproduced here under: -

*"9. In the matter at hand, the petitioner underwent surgery for Acute Intestinal Obstruction, which was not necessary at that moment in order to save his life, as also depicted by his medical record. Therefore, the test of essentiality and emergency does not stand satisfied.*

*10. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed."*

It is important judgment on the issue that reimbursement of medical expenses is admissible even in cases where treatment has been availed from a non-empanelled hospital, provided the same was necessitated by circumstances of medical emergency and was essential to preserve the life of the patient, being protected under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. It is therefore, requested to circulate the judgment amongst the subordinate offices under your control for disposal of similarly situated case by placing reliance on the aforesaid judgment. A copy of judgment dated 22.09.2025 is enclosed herewith for ready reference.

DA/As above

*Anshuman*  
31/10/25

Assistant Law Officer,  
For L.R. HPU, Panchkula

CC: -

1. The S.E./XEN/IT, UHBVN, HVPNL, HPGCL, DHBVN, Panchkula/Hisar are requested to upload the judgment dated 22.09.2025 on the website of their utility.
2. The SE/OP Circle, UHBVN, Rohtak.
3. The Joint Secy./Legal, HVPNL, Panchkula.
4. The Under Secy./Legal, HVPNL, Panchkula.
5. Dy. District Attorney-I, HVPNL, Panchkula.
6. Dy. District Attorney-II, HVPNL, Panchkula