

HARYANA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED
ANNUAL QUALIFICATION REPORT OF CLASS-I & CLASS-II
ENGINEERING OFFICERS

SECTION - 'A'

SELF REPORT FOR THE PERIOD FROM.....TO.....
(To be written by the Officer Himself)

1.

- a) Name in Full
- b) Father's Name
- c) Rank
- d) Post (s) held (during the period of report)
- e) Date of Birth
- f) Education Qualification held/
Examination passed at the time
of joining service.
- g) Other professional examination qualified.
- h) Membership of professional bodies.
- i) Date of joining the Board's (H.P.G.C.) Service
- j) Date of joining the present rank,
- k) Date of joining the present post,

2. Education/professional examination (s) passed &
training undergone since joining the Department.

(in chronological order)

- a) Examination (s) passed
- b) Training undergone

3. Whether property return submitted?

(Give reference No. & date and
name of office to which submitted)

4. Self Assessment Report.

- a) What were the targets fixed to be achieved by you?
- b) How far you were able to be achieve these targets?
- c) Reasons for short fall, if any?
- d) Additional achievements made due to your
special efforts?

For report
Separate sheet be
attached

Station:

SIGNATURE

Date:

SECTION-'B'

ASSESSMENT OF INITIATING/REVIEWING OFFICER

- a) Name & Designation of the Reporting Officer.
- b) Name & Designation of the Reviewing Officer.

Initiating Officer

Reviewing Officer

1. Departmental Efficiency

- a. How far you agree with the self assessment report under item 4 of Section –'A'?
- b. Has he/she managed the work well?
Are projects and reports received from him carefully and lucidly prepared, technically sound and do these show that he is professionally and otherwise well qualified and an officer with a sense of sound judgment?
- c. Does he/she exercise an efficient control over his subordinates/ labour and their work?
- d. Is he/she careful and pains-taking in instructing and training of his subordinates?
- e. Is his office in good order? Does he habitually delay in dealing with matters which can and ought to be disposed off promptly?
- f. Are his arrangements for carrying out the work satisfactory and are his methods economical?
- g. Are his relation with those working with him
- h. generally cordial and does he display good temper in dealing with those with whom he comes in contact?
- i. Attitude of the Officer towards other castes & communities.

2. Professional Ability

- 2.1 i) Is his technical knowledge sound for construction/ maintenance of lines/Electrical Equipment and other areas relating to his duties?
- 2.2 Could his services be utilized in some other area more effectively?
- 2.3 Has he written some useful papers on some technical subject?
- 2.4 Does he keep abreast with latest development in the field of Engineering, connected with his job requirements?

3. General Qualification.

- a) Does he show adequate initiative and drive and is he energetic and possess active habits?
- b) Does he inspect his works frequently?

4. General Remarks

- a) Are there any points in which he specifically excels or in which he is deficient?
- b) Defects, if any?
- c) Integrity, (in case of doubtful integrity give reasons)
- d) Whether in case of adverse report a communication has been made, if so, quote the reference No. & date vide which made.

5. Overall Assessment.

Out-standing/Very Good/Good/
Average/ Below Average.

Signature
(Initiating officer)

Signature
(Reviewing officer)

Name: -

Name:-

Designation

Designation

6. Comments of accepting officer, if any

Signature

Name:-

Designation

(FOR OFFICE USE ONLY)

Adverse remarks have been communicated

Vide No.....Dated.....

Signature
Conveying Authority
Stamp

INSTRUCTIONS TO THE APPRAISER

1. Performance/evaluation should be based on how well the employees has achieved the results expected during the period under assessment Each area should be assessed independently, uninfluenced by the rating of the other area (s).
2. Tendency to over-rate /under should be guarded against. This is unfair both to the employee reported upon as well as his colleagues.
3. The assessment should be based on sufficient evidence reflected during the period under review. It should be fair and accurate. Personal bias should not operate in assessing an employee.
4. The assessment of an employee should not be based on a recent incident or two during the assessment period on his performance throughout the period under review.
5. Whether the accepting officer, does not agree with the assessment of the initiating officer/Reviewing Officer, he should record his own assessment against each area.
6. Adverse remarks must be clearly distinguished from suggestions. His relations with subordinates need improvement” is a suggestion while “his relations with subordinates are bad or he treats his subordinates badly or rudely” is an adverse remark.
7. Remarks in respect of integrity (under item-4-c) in section-‘B’ of the report of an officer can be on General lines unless something specially can be recorded against any individual. This certificate should say whether the officer can be fully relied upon with regard to his integrity or the reporting officer has some doubts for which the ordinary standard to be applied would be for example, the officer living beyond his means with no private source of income, insistent complaints against him which do not appear to be a result of his over-strictness or harshness or tactlessness, his general reputation cleaned from other senior officers of the H.P.G.C. (and in rare case of other department or any conclusions that may be drawn from his work, method of supervision, method of dealing with contractors etc.
Whenever adverse remarks against ‘Integrity’ are given, a separate Note by the Officer, giving briefly the relevant facts on which his remarks are based, should form an annexure to the qualification report.
8. The grade ‘Outstanding’ should be sparingly and only in exceptional cases. It will be seldom applicable to a young officer still in process of development.